

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 MICHAEL KRAUSE,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 TARA KRAUSE,

15 Respondent.
16

No. 1:21-cv-01706-JLT-SAB

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER AND CONTEMPT
SANCTIONS**

(Docs. 41, 69)

17 The assigned magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations that Petitioner's
18 motion for a temporary restraining order and contempt sanctions (Doc. 41)¹ be denied. (Doc. 69.)
19 The magistrate judge found that because a concurrent order granted the primary requested relief
20 sought by Petitioner when he filed the motion for a temporary restraining order, a temporary
21 restraining order was unnecessary to preserve the status quo and to prevent irreparable harm to
22 the Petitioner. (*Id.* at 12.) The magistrate judge also found that, to the extent a recommendation
23 was required to deny the requested contempt sanctions, such request for certification of a finding
24 of contempt should be denied. (*Id.* at 11.) The findings and recommendations notified all parties
25 that they may file objections within 14 days. (*Id.* at 3.) No objections were filed by either party
26 within the period to do so.

27 ///

28

¹ The motion was entered on the docket as a memorandum. (Doc. 41.)

1 According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this
2 case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be
3 supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS:

- 4 1. The findings and recommendations issued on May 20, 2022 (Doc. 69) are
5 **ADOPTED** in full.
- 6 2. Petitioner's motion for a temporary restraining order and finding of contempt
7 (Doc. 41) is **DENIED**.
- 8 3. This matter is referred to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.

9
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: **June 21, 2022**


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE